# Chapter 2: Policy Standards for a Good Tax

## Questions and Problems for Discussion

1. This question is designed to lead to a class discussion of the various tax policy issues introduced in Chapter 2.
2. Historically, the federal income tax system has not generated enough revenue to fund the government’s spending programs. Consequently, the federal government has borrowed money to make up its deficits (excess of spending over revenues) and, in doing so, has amassed a national debt exceeding $33 trillion. The federal government operated at a deficit in every year from 1970 through 1998. In 1999 and 2000, it operated at a small surplus (excess of revenues over spending) but reverted to massive deficit spending in 2001 and subsequent years.
3. Governments can impose a new tax (by identifying and taxing a new base), increase the rate of an existing tax, or expand the base of an existing tax.
4. Governments that fail to control the growth of their money supply run the risk of devaluing the currency and triggering a crippling rate of inflation. Therefore, simply printing more money to fund an operating deficit is not a viable, long-term solution to an insufficient tax system.
   1. Mrs. Edwards could enter the work force. The additional after-tax earnings would potentially offset the decrease in the couple’s disposable income attributable to the tax rate increase. If Mr. Edwards works for an hourly wage, the impact of the tax rate increase could be offset by working additional hours to generate additional income. If Mr. Edwards does not have this option, additional income could be generated by taking a second job or even starting a new business.
   2. As a self-employed individual, Mrs. Frank may have the flexibility to generate more income by increasing the number of hours devoted to the business. The additional after-tax earnings could offset the decrease in the couple’s disposable income attributable to the tax rate increase. Mr. Frank has the same options as Mr. Edwards.
   3. In this case, Mr. and Mrs. George have the same options as Mr. Edwards and Mr. Frank. Because they are both full-time employees, their ability to increase their before-tax income may be limited.
5. Ms. Akai may not have any realistic way to decrease the time spent at work and increase leisure time, even if the tax rate increase means the after-tax value of labor decreases. Ms. Akai’s behavior should not change because of a tax rate increase.
6. Mr. Junga could resign and leave the work force if the couple decides that additional leisure time is worth more than the after-tax value of Mr. Junga’s labor.
7. As a self-employed individual, Ms. Kahn has the flexibility to decrease the number of hours devoted to business activities, thereby substituting additional leisure time for labor.
8. An increase in the income tax rate decreases the after-tax value of the bond investment but does not affect the value of the luxury auto. (The personal use and enjoyment of the auto are nontaxable benefits to Ms. Vincent.) Consequently, Ms. Vincent may decide to consume the $40,000 (i.e., buy the auto) rather than save it.
9. People derive many psychological benefits from working: a sense of self-worth and self-reliance, prestige and status, intellectual challenge, a social network, and a belief that their work makes the world a better place. These forms of psychic income may be as important (or even more important) than monetary incentives.
10. A national sales tax might reduce the aggregate level of consumer demand for taxable goods and services. In this case, the tax base would decrease, and state and local sales tax revenues would decline.
11. Arguably, the estate tax is more convenient for two reasons. First, individuals with accumulated wealth can’t avoid the tax indefinitely. Second, a person’s death is a matter of public record so that the IRS can easily determine when a potentially taxable event (the transfer of wealth at death) has occurred.
12. Market economies (and the firms operating in those economies) adapt to the various taxes imposed on business transactions. The longer a tax (or a specific tax rule) has been in effect, the better the business community understands it. When governments change the tax system, the business community must spend time and money studying and reacting to the change. Firm managers must reassess, or even modify, their tax strategies. Thus, any change in the tax environment is both costly and unsettling, even if the purpose of the change is to improve the environment.
13. Clearly, the system in which employers must withhold and remit income tax from their employees’ paychecks is more convenient for the government because the collection process is greatly concentrated. The withholding system is more convenient for individual employees who are not required to compute their monthly tax bills or mail tax payments to the government. Instead, their employers perform these tasks on the individuals’ behalf. The withholding system shifts much of the cost of compliance to employers and is, therefore, more inconvenient from the employers’ perspective.
    1. This provision clearly is intended to encourage and reward a certain economic behavior (the purchase and use of snow removal equipment by private firms) and, therefore, meets the definition of a tax preference.
    2. Jurisdiction E is assuming that it can reduce its snow removal costs by $550,000 because more firms will own the equipment to perform this function for themselves.
14. For the income tax system to be equitable, the tax base (taxable income) should be defined as precisely as possible to reflect each individual’s economic ability to pay. However, the greater the number of personal and financial circumstances considered in defining taxable income, the greater the complexity of the law.
    1. This is a progressive rate structure with a -0- percent rate on income up to $35,000 and a 15 percent rate on income in excess of $35,000.
    2. This is a proportionate rate structure.
    3. This is a regressive rate structure with a 15 percent rate on income up to $80,000 and a -0- percent rate on income in excess of $80,000.
15. Jurisdiction Q could enact:

A gross receipts tax. Because Corporation R and Corporation T both have $5 million gross receipts, they would pay the same tax. Corporation T could argue that this result is horizontally inequitable because its gross and net profit are less than Corporation R’s gross and net profit, indicating that Corporation T has less ability to pay a tax.

A tax based on gross profit. Because Corporation R has more gross profit than Corporation T, Corporation R would pay the greater tax. Corporation R could argue that this result is horizontally inequitable because it has a higher ratio of annual operating expenses to gross profit (55.5 percent) than Corporation T (30.1 percent). Consequently, gross profit doesn’t accurately reflect the two corporations’ ability to pay tax.

A tax based on net profit before charitable contributions. In this case, Corporation R’s tax base would be $800,000, and Corporation T’s tax base would be $930,000. Corporation T might argue that its generous charitable contributions reduced its economic ability to pay and should be taken into account. Corporation R could refute by arguing that discretionary charitable contributions are irrelevant to the measurement of ability to pay tax on business earnings.

A tax based on net profit after charitable contributions. In this case, Corporation R would pay more tax than Corporation T and could argue that allowing Corporation T to deduct charitable contributions violates the concept of horizontal equity.

1. Ms. Valdez should use the marginal tax rate: the rate at which the incremental income from the investment will be taxed. For tax planning purposes, the average tax rate paid on total income is irrelevant.

## Application Problems

* 1. Next year’s excise tax revenue will be $880,000 ($8 million base × 11%).
  2. Next year’s excise tax revenue will be $1,023,000 ($9.3 million base × 11%).
  3. Next year’s excise tax revenue will be $770,000 ($7 million base × 11%).

1. Next year’s restaurant tax revenue will be $441,000 ($29.4 million base × 1.5%).
2. Next year’s restaurant tax revenue will be $540,000 ($36 million base × 1.5%).
3. Next year’s restaurant tax revenue will be $615,000 ($41 million base × 1.5%).
   1. Next year’s hotel tax revenue will be $1.5 million ($25 million base × 6%).
   2. Next year’s hotel tax revenue will be $1.32 million ($22 million base × 6%).
   3. Next year’s hotel tax revenue will be $1.14 million ($19 million base × 6%).
4. Before the rate increase, Ms. King’s disposable income is $35,700 ($42,000 − $6,300 tax). If the tax rate increases from 15 percent to 20 percent, Ms. King must earn an additional $2,625 to maintain this income. This number is derived from the following formula:

($42,000 + additional income) − 20% ($42,000 + additional income) = $35,700

If Ms. King can earn an extra $2,625, disposable income will not be affected by the rate increase.

Taxable income $44,625

Tax rate .20

Tax $8,925

After-tax income ($44,625 − $8,925) = $35,700

1. Before the rate increase, Mr. and Mrs. Esposito’s disposable income is $66,400 ($83,000 − $16,600 tax). If the tax rate increases from 20 percent to 28 percent, Mr. Esposito must earn an additional $9,222 to maintain this income. This number is derived from the following formula:

($83,000 + additional income) − 28% ($83,000 + additional income) = $66,400

If Mr. Esposito can earn $9,222 from the part-time job, the couple’s disposable income will not be affected by the rate increase.

Taxable income $92,222

Tax rate .28

Tax $25,822

After-tax income ($92,222 − $25,822) = $66,400

* 1. $125,000 tax base (Mr. and Mrs. Jerald’s taxable income)  8% rate increase = $10,000 additional revenue collected from Mr. and Mrs. Jerald.
  2. $140,000 increased tax base  40% $56,000

Tax collected on original $125,000 base  32% (40,000)

Additional revenue collected from Mr. and Mrs. Jerald $16,000

* 1. $110,000 decreased tax base  40% $44,000

Tax collected on original $125,000 base  32% (40,000)

Additional revenue collected from Mr. and Mrs. Jerald $4,000

1. $300,000 tax base (Ms. Benoit’s taxable income)  10% rate decrease = $30,000 decrease in revenue collected from Ms. Benoit.
2. $375,000 increased tax base  25% $93,750

Tax collected on original $300,000 base  35% (105,000)

Decrease in revenue collected from Ms. Benoit $(11,250)

1. $275,000 decreased tax base  25% $68,750

Tax collected on original $300,000 base  35% (105,000)

Decrease in revenue collected from Ms. Benoit $(36,250)

1. Jersey Inc.’s income tax is $273,000 ($3.9 million  7%), and its average and marginal tax rates are 7%.
2. Leray Inc.’s income tax is $350,000 ($5 million  7%). Its average rate is 3.6% ($350,000 ÷ $9.6 million), and its marginal rate is 0%.
3. Jurisdiction B uses a regressive rate structure because the average rate decreases as the tax base (corporate income) increases.
4. Mr. Hill’s taxable income is $63,750 ($98,750 − $35,000), tax is $8,925 ($63,750 × 14%), average rate is 9% ($8,925 ÷ $98,750), and marginal rate is 14%.
5. Ms. Lui’s taxable income is $12,900 ($47,900 − $35,000), tax is $1,806 ($12,900 × 14%), average rate is 3.8% ($1,806 ÷ $47,900), and marginal tax rate is 14%.
6. Ms. Archer’s average and marginal rates are zero.
7. Jurisdiction X uses a progressive rate structure, because the average rate increases as the tax base (individual income) increases.
8. Taxpayer A’s tax on $119,400 of income is computed as follows:

6% of first $30,000 of income $1,800

10% of next $40,000 of income 4,000

20% of next $49,400 of income 9,880

$15,680

Taxpayer A’s average tax rate is 13.13% ($15,680  $119,400), and his marginal tax rate is 20%.

1. Taxpayer B’s tax on $383,900 of income is computed as follows:

6% of first $30,000 of income $1,800

10% of next $40,000 of income 4,000

20% of next $130,000 of income 26,000

28% of next $183,900 of income 51,492

$83,292

Taxpayer B’s average tax rate is 21.70% ($83,292  $383,900), and his marginal tax rate is 28%.

1. Both taxpayers earn $500,000 total income over the 10-year period.
2. Taxpayer O paid an annual tax of $3,800 on $50,000 taxable income. Thus, Taxpayer O paid $38,000 tax for the 10-year period and had an average tax rate of 7.6% ($38,000 ÷ $500,000). Taxpayer P paid an annual tax of $1,200 on $20,000 taxable income for years 1 through 5 and an annual tax of $7,800 on $80,000 taxable income for years 6 through 10. Thus, Taxpayer P paid $45,000 tax for the 10-year period and had an average tax rate of 9% ($45,000 ÷ $500,000).
3. Mr. Levi’s tax on $69,200 of income is computed as follows:

10% of first $20,000 of income $2,000

15% of next $49,200 of income 7,380

$9,380

Mr. Levi’s average tax rate is 13.55% ($9,380  $69,200), and his marginal tax rate is 15%.

1. Ms. Jinn’s tax on $184,400 of income is computed as follows:

10% of first $20,000 of income $2,000

15% of next $55,000 of income 8,250

25% of next $85,000 of income 21,250

30% of next $24,400 of income 7,320

$38,820

Ms. Jinn’s average tax rate is 21.05% ($38,820  $184,400), and her marginal tax rate is 30%.

1. Both taxpayers earn $750,000 total income over the 5-year period.
2. Ms. Slattery paid $29,000 tax annually on $150,000 taxable income. Thus, Ms. Slattery paid $145,000 tax for the 5-year period and had an average tax rate of 19.33% ($145,000 ÷ $750,000). Ms. Ochoa paid $2,000 tax on $20,000 taxable income for years 1 through 4 and $184,500 tax on $670,000 taxable income for year 5. Thus, Ms. Ochoa paid $192,500 tax for the 5-year period and had an average tax rate of 25.67% ($192,500 ÷ $750,000).
3. Individual C’s excise tax is $15, and C’s average tax rate is 3% ($15 ÷ $500).
4. Individual D’s excise tax is $70, and D’s average tax rate is 1.4% ($70 ÷ $5,000).
5. Jurisdiction Z’s excise tax meets a strict definition of vertical equity because individual D, who has a larger tax base than individual C, pays more tax than individual C. However, the tax is regressive because individual D’s average tax rate is less than individual C’s average tax rate.

## Issue Recognition Problems

1. Does Country O have a sufficient tax system? Does Country O have a surplus (an excess of $903 million total revenues over $877 million total expenditures) or a deficit (an excess of $800 million general expenditures over $718 million general revenues)? Note that this question mirrors the current debate concerning whether federal payroll tax receipts and Social Security/Medicare disbursements should be on-budget or off-budget.
2. Will the increase in the gross receipts tax rate cause firms to conduct less business in County M so that the aggregate gross receipts after the tax increase are less than $400 million? Is a static forecast of the incremental revenue from the rate increase appropriate because the county’s improved road system will encourage increased business activity within its jurisdiction?
3. Is the horizontal equity of the federal income tax impaired because the law gives preferential treatment for one type of physical disability (blindness) but not for other types of disabilities (paralysis)? Does Ms. Kinsolver have less ability to pay income tax than Mr. Lu?
4. Is the economic benefit of the certainty and stability resulting from the moratorium negated by the fact that Country C cannot use its tax system as an instrument of fiscal policy (lower rates, new tax breaks to combat unemployment, etc.) in its attempt to combat the recession?
5. Does the complexity of the new provision undermine the provision’s tax policy goal (a subsidy for families with high utility bills)? Is the new provision, in fact, inequitable because it benefits only a subgroup of individual taxpayers?
6. Could Jurisdiction J provide the same level of clean-up services directly (through a government program) for less than $1.9 million?

## Research Problems

1. The CBO’s estimate of the U.S. government’s total budget surplus (deficit) for the current fiscal year is updated monthly. Monthly Treasury Statements provide annual surplus (deficit) estimates that include both Social Security and Postal Service receipts. However, the CBO also restates the annual surplus (deficit) estimates by excluding these “off-budget” items.
2. “The Tax Foundation is the nation’s leading independent tax policy research organization. Since 1937, our principled research, insightful analysis, and engaged experts have informed smarter tax policy at the federal, state, and local levels.” Mission statement: “We improve lives through tax policy research and education that leads to greater economic growth and opportunity.”
3. Tax Freedom Day is the day when individual taxpayers stop working to pay their annual local, state, and federal taxes and begin working to provide after-tax disposable income for themselves. At the time this edition was published, the Tax Foundation had not published this information for 2023.
4. At the time this edition was published, the Tax Foundation had not published this information for 2023.
5. The answer to this problem depends on which day the students access the website.

## Tax Planning Cases

1. Based on a static forecast, a 1 percent increase in the sales tax rate would increase Jurisdiction B’s annual revenue by $5 million. A new 2 percent corporate income tax would also increase revenue by $5 million (2 percent of a $275 million tax base less $500,000 cost of the new agency).
2. In developing a dynamic forecast of the incremental revenue from the sales tax rate increase, sales tax rates in the neighboring jurisdictions would be an important fact. If these rates are less than 7.5 percent, residents of Jurisdiction B might react to the rate increase by traveling to a neighboring jurisdiction to make their purchases. The extent of this behavioral reaction might depend on whether Jurisdiction B has a use tax (which would also be increased to 7.5 percent) and an effective mechanism for collecting such tax. A second important fact is based on geography. Are the neighboring jurisdictions within a reasonable travel distance for Jurisdiction B shoppers?

The corporate income tax rates in the two neighboring jurisdictions would be important factors in a dynamic forecast of the incremental revenue from Jurisdiction B’s new net income tax. The nontax costs and benefits of operating a business in Jurisdiction B compared to the nontax costs and benefits of operating in the neighboring jurisdictions would be important information. For example, does Jurisdiction B offer a more skilled labor force or cheaper electrical power than neighboring jurisdictions? These facts are relevant in estimating the extent to which corporations would maintain their current level of business activity within Jurisdiction B, in spite of the new tax cost.